

A SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE COMPUTED TORQUE TECHNIQUE

Yasmina Bestaoui

Laboratoire d'Automatique de Nantes, UA CNRS 823  
Ecole Centrale de Nantes/Université de Nantes  
1 rue de la Noë, 44072 Nantes, France

Djamila Benmerzouk

Département de Mathématiques,  
Institut des Sciences Exactes  
Université de Tlemcen, Tlemcen, Algeria

**Abstract:** Feedback design research has classically ties with Lyapunov stability theory and with the classical theory of dynamical systems. This work presents a sensitivity analysis of the computed torque technique applied to robotic control, using the bifurcation approach.

I- INTRODUCTION

Theory of non linear dynamical systems has attracted considerable interest in recent years. Some issues such as stability, non linear oscillations, bifurcations and presence or absence of chaos are relevant. One can modify system properties or behavior using the adequate controller. Work on bifurcation control is a very interesting development. In this case, one has available the concept of imperfection sensitivity which is useful for the study of the corruption of systems near the onset of a dynamical instability [3].

In this paper, the problem of sensitivity to gain variations is considered. We employ the bifurcation approach to give a specified neighborhood avoiding the chaotic motion. A particular control can significantly reduce the amplitude of a bifurcated solution, or significantly enhance its stability over a nontrivial parameter range. We will show that the perturbed problem admits a solution if the perturbations are continuous and bounded in some neighbourhoods.

II - COMPUTED TORQUE TECHNIQUE

The dynamics of a multilink articulated robot manipulator can be characterised by a set of nonlinear and coupled second-order differential equation :  $\Gamma = D(q) \ddot{q} + h(q, \dot{q})$  (1)

where  $\Gamma$  are  $n \times 1$  external applied torques for joint actuators,  $n$  is the number of degrees of freedom,  $q, \dot{q}$  and  $\ddot{q}$  are respectively  $n \times 1$  joint positions, velocities and accelerations.  $h(q, \dot{q})$  is the gravitational, Coriolis and Centrifugal force vector and  $D(q)$  is the positive definite  $n \times n$  inertia matrix. To control the manipulator, the following control law is proposed:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^d + \Delta \Gamma \tag{2}$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma^d = \mathbf{D}(q^d) \ddot{q}^d + \mathbf{h}(q^d, \dot{q}^d) \tag{3}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta \Gamma = \mathbf{D}(q^d) [K_v(t) (\dot{q}^d - \dot{q}) + K_p(t) (q^d - q) +$$

$$K_I(t) \int_0^t (\ddot{q}^d(\tau) - \ddot{q}(\tau)) d\tau] \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$  are estimates of  $D$  and  $h$  respectively,  $K_v, K_p$  and  $K_I$  are  $n \times n$  diagonal gain matrices with  $K_{vj}, K_{pj}$  and  $K_{Ij}$  on the diagonals. The desired trajectory  $(q^d, \dot{q}^d)$  of the manipulator is given by the trajectory planning system [4].

III - SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Since one does not have access to the exact inverse dynamics, the linearization and the decoupling will not be exact. This is manifested by uncertain feedback terms that may be handled using multivariable robust control techniques. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  joint has as closed loop dynamics:

$$\ddot{x}''(q,t) + K_v(t) \dot{x}''(q,t) + K_p(t) x''(q,t) + K_I(t) x(q,t) = R(t, q, q', q'') \tag{5}$$

with

$$x(q,t) = \int_0^t (\ddot{q}^d(\tau) - \ddot{q}(\tau)) d\tau \quad \text{and } x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = 0$$

$$R(t, q, q', q'') = \mathbf{D}^{-1}(q^d) [ (\mathbf{D}(q^d) - D(q)) \ddot{q}'' + \mathbf{h}(q^d, \dot{q}^d) - h(q, \dot{q}) ]$$

The functions  $\mathbf{D}, D, \mathbf{h}, h$  and  $R$  are assumed to be  $C^1$  uniformly bounded functions when  $q, q'$  and  $q''$  are bounded. The problem to tackle with may be stated as:

"May a small variation of the gain matrices lead to a similar behavior of the system or to a completely different one ?"

Let  $\epsilon_{kv}(t), \epsilon_{kp}(t)$  and  $\epsilon_{ki}(t)$   $n$ -vectors representing the perturbations of the gain matrices. We would like to study the existence, unicity and stability of the solution  $q(t)$  associated with the perturbed problem:

$$x''(q,t) + (K_v(t) + I\epsilon_{kv}(t)) \dot{x}''(q,t) + (K_p(t) + I\epsilon_{kp}(t)) x''(q,t) + (K_I(t) + I\epsilon_{ki}(t)) x(q,t) = R(t, q, q', q'') \tag{6}$$

First, let's have an abstract formulation of the problem.

a) Abstract formulation of the problem

Let's define the mathematical spaces where the problem solutions lie. Let  $Z = C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of continuous functions on  $J$ . Let us define a norm on  $Z$  such that

$$\forall z \in Z, \|z\|_{\infty} = \text{Sup } \|z(t)\| \quad t \in J$$

Thus  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a Banach space.

Let  $SO = C^3(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of three times continuously differentiable functions on  $J$  and let us define a norm on  $SO$  such that

$$\forall y \in SO, \|y\|_{SO} = \|y\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{y}\|_{\infty} + \|\ddot{y}\|_{\infty} + \|\dddot{y}\|_{\infty}$$

Thus  $(SO, \|\cdot\|_{SO})$  is a Banach space.

Let us define the linear operator on  $S$  by:

$$LX = \frac{d^3 X}{dt^3} + K_v(t) \frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} + K_p(t) \frac{dX}{dt} + K_I(t) X$$

where the set  $S$  is defined as:

$$S = \{X \in SO, X(0) = \dot{X}(0) = 0, \|X\|_{\infty} \leq r\}$$

and  $\Lambda$  is the family of all continuous perturbations on  $J$ ,

$$\epsilon \in \Lambda; \epsilon(t) = (\epsilon_{kv}(t), \epsilon_{kp}(t), \epsilon_{ki}(t))$$

We will study the existence of nontrivial solutions  $x$  of:

$$x''(q,t) + K_v(t) \dot{x}''(q,t) + K_p(t) x''(q,t) + K_I(t) x(q,t) = \tag{7}$$

$$= - [I\epsilon_{ki}(t)x(q,t) + I\epsilon_{kp}(t)\dot{x}''(q,t) + I\epsilon_{kv}(t)x''(q,t)] + \mu R(t, q, q', q'')$$

$$x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = 0 \quad t \in J \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\text{Let's define } h: J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$h(t, x, \mu, \epsilon) = - [I\epsilon_{kv}(t)x''(q,t) + I\epsilon_{kp}(t)\dot{x}''(q,t) + I\epsilon_{ki}(t)x(q,t)] + \mu R(t, q, q', q'') \tag{8}$$

and the Nemytskii operator  $\mathbf{h}$  associated to  $h$  defined as:

$$\mathbf{h}: S \times \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda \rightarrow Z$$

$$X, \mu, \epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{h}(X, \mu, \epsilon)(t) = h(t, X(t), \mu, \epsilon)$$

$$\epsilon \in \Lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, X \in \text{dom} L, t \in J$$

$\mathbf{h}$  satisfies the following properties:

1)  $\mathbf{h}$  is continuous with respect to  $X, \mu$  and  $\epsilon$ .

2)  $\mathbf{h}(0,0,0) = 0$

Problem (6) is equivalent to the abstract formulation:

$$LX = \mathbf{h}(X, \mu, \epsilon); \epsilon \in \Lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, X \in \text{dom} L, t \in J \tag{9}$$

A solution of eq (9) is also solution of eq (6) and reciprocally. The operator  $L$  has the following properties:

1) Ker L is spanned by the three linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous problem associated to the non perturbed problem:

$$X2' = -A_1^T X2 \quad X2(0) = (0,0,x''(0)) \quad (10)$$

where T denotes the transpose;

$$A_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ -K_1(t) & -K_p(t) & -K_v(t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X2 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ x'' \end{pmatrix}$$

Ker L = span {X01, X02, X03} and dim Ker L = 3.

2)  $l \in \text{Im } L$  if and only if :

$$\int_0^T (l(t), X_{01}) dt = \int_0^T (l(t), X_{02}) dt = \int_0^T (l(t), X_{03}) dt = 0$$

so Codim Im L = 3. This relation is given by the Fredholm alternative.

3) L is an indice 0 Fredholm operator. As Ker L and CoKer L have finite dimension, they admit supplementaries, more, they are closed. So we may define projections on each of these sets:  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P_0: S \rightarrow S$

$$P_0 X(t) = c_1 X_{01}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{01}(\tau)) d\tau + c_2 X_{02}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{02}(\tau)) d\tau + c_3 X_{03}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{03}(\tau)) d\tau$$

$$I - P_1: Z \rightarrow Z$$

$$P_1 X(t) = c_1 X_{01}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{01}(\tau)) d\tau + c_2 X_{02}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{02}(\tau)) d\tau + c_3 X_{03}(t) \int_0^T (X(\tau), X_{03}(\tau)) d\tau$$

where the constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  are such that Ker L basis is normalised.  $P_0$  is a projection of S onto Ker L such that :

$$\text{Im } P_0 = \text{Ker } L$$

$P_1$  is a projection of Z onto Im L such that: Ker (I-P1) = Im L.

The Fredholm alternative shows that the equation:  $LX = l$ ,  $l \in Z$  admits a solution if and only if:  $(I - P_1)l = 0$ .

In this case, a unique solution  $X = X(l) \in S$  exists and is such that:  $P_0 X = 0$

The complementary projections of  $P_0$  and  $(I - P_1)$  are defined in the following sets:

$$I - P_0: S \rightarrow S \quad S = \text{Ker } L \oplus \mathcal{S}$$

$$P_1: Z \rightarrow Z \quad Z = \text{Im } L \oplus \mathcal{Z}$$

$\forall X \in S, \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathcal{S}$  such that:

$$X = \alpha_1 X_{01} + \alpha_2 X_{02} + \alpha_3 X_{03} + v$$

$$\forall l \in Z, \exists l_1 \in \text{Im } L, l_2 \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ such that: } l = l_1 + l_2$$

Let us define the continuous integral operator K that kernel is the Green function associated to problem (6) :

$$K: l \in P_1 Z = \text{Im } L \rightarrow K(l) \in (I - P_0) S$$

Using this operator, we may propose the following sensitivity analysis.

#### b) Sensitivity analysis

First we may propose the following theorem:

**Theorem 1:**  $X = K P_1 l$  is the unique solution of :

$$LX = P_1 l \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 K P_1 l = 0$$

and another solution of problem (14) is given by:

$$X = \alpha_1 X_{01} + \alpha_2 X_{02} + \alpha_3 X_{03} + K P_1 l; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}. (11)$$

Proof of Theorem 1 may be found in [1].

For  $X \in \text{Dom } L$ , using relation (11), the abstract problem (9) is equivalent to :

$$v = K P_1 (h(\alpha_1 X_{01} + \alpha_2 X_{02} + \alpha_3 X_{03} + v, \mu, \epsilon)) \quad (12)$$

$$0 = (I - P_1) (h(\alpha_1 X_{01} + \alpha_2 X_{02} + \alpha_3 X_{03} + v, \mu, \epsilon)) \quad (13)$$

Relation (12) is called auxiliary equation and (13) bifurcation equation. These relations are dependent one from each other. They form a system of equations to be solved simultaneously. Using this formulation, we may propose the following theorem:

**Theorem 2:** Assuming that the gain matrices are such

that:  $K_{pj}^2 = \frac{K_{ji}}{K_{vj}}$  there exist neighborhoods  $V_1$  of  $v=0$

in  $(I - P_0) S$ ,  $V_2$  of  $\alpha=0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $V_3$  of  $\epsilon=0$  in  $\Lambda$  and finally  $V_4$  of  $\mu=0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that if  $(\alpha, \epsilon, \mu) \in V_2 \times V_3 \times V_4$ , the auxiliary equation (12) has a unique solution  $v^*(\alpha, \epsilon, \mu) \in V_1$  if and only if  $(\alpha, \epsilon, \mu)$  is a solution of the bifurcation equation (13):  $v^*: V_2 \times V_3 \times V_4 \rightarrow V_1$  with  $v^*(0,0,0) = 0$ . Proof of Theorem 2 may be found in [1].

When the gains are constant, the problem solution are the  $\alpha_i$  function of  $\mu$  ( $i=1, \dots, 4$ ).

**Theorem 3:** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2:

1 - If  $\mu = 0$ , there exist neighborhoods  $V_2$  of  $\alpha=0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $V_3$  of  $\epsilon=0$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $V_3 = V_3' \cup V_3''$ ,  $V_3'$  and  $V_3''$  are two connexe disjoint subsets, ( $0 \in V_3'$ ) such that :

- i) if  $\epsilon \in V_3'$ , then problem (6) has a unique solution  $X^*$
- ii) if  $\epsilon \in V_3''$ , then problem (6) has a infinite number of solutions bifurcating from the unique solution  $X^*$ .

2 - If  $\mu \neq 0$ , there exist neighborhoods  $W_2$  of  $\alpha=0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $V_3$  of  $\epsilon=0$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $W_3 = W_3' \cup W_3''$ ,  $W_3'$  and  $W_3''$  are two connexe disjoint subsets, ( $0 \in W_3'$ ) such that

- i) if  $\epsilon \in W_3'$ , then problem (6) has at least one solution in  $V_1$ .
- ii) if  $\epsilon \in W_3''$ , then problem (6) has no solution in  $V_1$ .

Proof of Theorem 3 may be found in [1].

## VI - CONCLUSIONS

Manipulator's control system based on computer torque technique incorporates a model of the manipulator dynamics. The nominal torque computed using this mathematical model, does not reflect the effects of unknown loadings and uncertainty in modelling the parameters. The problem of stabilization using bifurcation approach via direct state feedback is considered and more precisely sensitivity is investigated through the study of the auxiliary and bifurcation equations. The proposed theorems consider the development of sufficient conditions for the stabilization of a manipulator, in relation with sensitivity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Benmerzouk D. "Problème d'évitement d'obstacles et étude de la commande dynamique d'un robot manipulateur à axes rigides" Ms Thesis, Math. Dept, University of Tlemcen, Algeria, May 1991
- [2] Bestaoui Y. "Design of a robotic discrete minimum energy regulator " IEE Proceedings Control Theory and Applications, 1991, vol 136, n°6, pp509-514
- [3] Colantonio M.C, et al "Application of higher order bifurcation formulas" IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol 36, n°6, Jun 1991, pp746-749
- [4] Paul R.P "Robot manipulators, mathematics, programming and control" MIT Press, 1981
- [5] Samson C., Le Borgne M., Espiau B. "Robot control, the task function approach" Oxford Science Publications, 1991